SEP 17 3 40 PM 'O

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of	<b>)</b>
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	) DOCKET NO. 03-0371
Instituting a Proceeding to Investigate Distributed Generation in Hawaii	) ) )
	}

### RESPONSE OF HAWAII RENEWABLE ENERGY ALLIANCE

<u>TO</u>

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM VARIOUS PARTIES

<u>0N</u>

#### HREA'S T-1 (WARREN S. BOLLMEIER II) DIRECT TESTIMONY

<u>AND</u>

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Warren S. Bollmeier II, President HREA 46-040 Konane Place #3816 Kaneohe HI 96744

(808) 247-7753

DEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of	)
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	) ) DOCKET NO. 03-0371
Instituting a Proceeding to Investigate Distributed Generation in Hawaii	)

The Hawaii Renewable Energy Alliance (HREA) hereby submits its response to Supplemental Information Requests (SIRs) from various Parties on our T-1 Direct Testimony (Warren S. Bollmeier II), dated and submitted to the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) on September 17, 2004 in accordance with the PUC's Prehearing Order Number 20922 (Reference Docket No. 03-0371).

#### 8 I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

- HREA received SIRs from the following Parties: A. HECO (see pages 3 to 4), and B. Kauai Island Utility Cooperative (see page 5). HREA's response, prepared by its President (Warren S. Bollmeier II), is included in Section II.
- Please note that the SIR format, including numbering system, is as received from the individual Parties.

1	II.	RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUESTS FROM THE VARIOUS PARTIES
2	A.	HECO
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Is HR utilitie incorp	A/HREA-DT-SIR-1 Ref: HREA Response to HECO/HREA-DT-IR-1  EA aware that capacity payments made to third parties are not recovered by the es until such time as a rate case is conducted and the amounts are allowed to be corated into rates?  REA Response: Yes, and we also believe there have been cases in the past where the
11	installa	ation of an Independent Power Plant has occurred shortly before a rate case.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a. HF b.	Does HREA take into consideration the degree of financial leveraging of the utilities versus third parties? If yes, please fully explain how HREA takes into consideration the degree of financial leveraging of the utilities versus third parties.  REA Response: No  What does HREA understand the utility debt/equity ratio to be?  Response: HREA understands the utility debt/equity ratio for HECO to be approximately
25	0.74,	based on HECO's debt (short-term borrowings and long-term) of \$829M and equity
26	(prefer	rred and common stock) of \$1,012M as of June 30, 2004.1
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Please usage	/HREA-DT-SIR-3 Ref: HREA Response to HECO/HREA-DT-IR-3, subpart a. e explain how the utilities acquire detailed knowledge of a customer's energy beyond the meter, including electricity and thermal energy usage.  REA Response: The utilities acquire detailed knowledge of a customer's energy usage

HECO/HREA-DT-SIR-4 Ref: HREA Response to HECO/HREA-DT-IR-3 subpart b. Please describe HREA's understanding of the scope of services offered by energy services companies.

beyond the meter, including electricity and thermal energy usage by talking to the customer.

Reference page 99 of HECO's 10-Q Quarterly report to the Securities and Exchange Commission, which was filed on August 5, 2004.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Provision of traditional demand-side, energy-efficiency measures (such as energy
efficient lighting, insulation, load management, etc.) in conjunction with or
independent of existing utility Demand-Side Management (DSM) programs via a
purchase contract or a performance based contract basis;

\_\_\_\_

- 2. Installation of energy conservation devices (such as solar hot water systems) to customers in conjunction with or independent of existing utility DSM programs;
- Installation of renewable energy systems (such as PV and wind systems) to customers in conjunction with or independent of existing utility net energy metering programs;
- 4. Installation and operation of a CHP system to a customer via a purchase contract (customer owns and operates) or a performance based contract (ESCO owns and operates) basis. Note: the ESCO might also offer O&M services to a customers that chooses to own the CHP system; and
- 5. Installation and operation of a CHP system to the utility via a purchase contract (utility owns and operates) or a performance based contract (ESCO owns and operates) basis. Note: the ESCO might also offer O&M services to a utility that chooses to own the CHP system;

Note: in addition to the listed services, the ESCO would likely provide additional services as requested by the customer or the utility. For example, the ESCO could provide:

- 1. a guaranteed level of reliability, as defined with the customer or the utility,
- 2. a guaranteed level of power quality, as defined with the customer or the utility, and
  - 3. ancillary services to the utility, e.g., voltage support, frequency regulation, etc.

#### B. Kauai Island Utility Cooperative

2 3 4

In its response to KIUC/HREA-DT-IR-2, HREA states "... there is a strong basis for a competitive market on Kauai..." (lines 24-25, page 13)."

a. Please explain what competitive market HREA is referring to (i.e., the competitive market involving the utility retail rate versus the effective energy rate of a customer-sited DG/CHP or the competitive market as defined in Docket No. 03-0372).

HREA Response: HREA believes that we may have confused KUIC with our response and will elaborate briefly. First, we believe there is an opportunity for energy service companies to provide DG products and services on Kauai. HREA believes that the key elements are in place for there to be a vibrant competitive market on Kauai. Specifically:

1. Kauai's high retail prices provide opportunity for a number of DG providers and technologies to compete;

- Kauai has a large number of customers that would likely explore the benefits of DG systems, and with appropriate outreach, those customers would have access to the information they need to make purchase/investment decisions; and
- There is ample opportunity for a market to be structured to allow multiple DG
  providers to enter the market place without barriers and to put controls in place to
  ensure that a monopoly-situation does not develop.
- b. Please explain how the competitive market on Kauai differs from the markets on the other islands, given the fact that the electric utility on the island of Kauai is not investor-owned, but rather owned by a tax-exempt entity owned by its member-customers who have the ability to receive patronage capital refunds depending on the financial performance of the utility.

HREA Response: HREA does not believe there should be any significant differences between a competitive market on Kauai vs. any of the other islands. The primary issue continues to be the role of the utility whether KIUC on Kauai or the Companies on the other islands. In application, of course, there could end up being differences if the market structure is different on Kauai when compared to the other islands.

1	
2	
3 4 5	END OF HREA'S RESPONSE TO IRS FROM THE VARIOUS PARTIES
6	DATED: September 17, 2004, Honolulu, Hawaii
7	Want Dolling
8	President, HREA

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing "Response to SIRs from Various Parties" upon the following parties by causing a copy hereof to be hand-delivered or mailed, postage prepaid, and properly addressed the number of copies noted below to each such party:

Party		Party	
DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY 335 Merchant Street Room 326 Honolulu, HI 96813	3 copies	BRIAN T. MOTO, CORPORATION COUNSEL County of Maui Dept. of the Corporation Counsel 200 S. High Street Wailuku, HI 96793	1 сору
THOMAS W. WILLIAMS, JR. ESQ. PETER Y. KIKUTA, ESQ. Goodsill, Anderson, Quinn & Stifel Alii Place, Suite 1800 1099 Alakea Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813	1 copy	CINDY Y. YOUNG, DEPUTY CORPORATION COUNSEL County of Maui Dept. of the Corporation Counsel 200 S. High Street Wailuku, HI 96793	1 сору
WILLIAM A. BONNET, Vice President Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc. Maui Electric Company, Limited P. O. Box 2750 Honolulu, Hawaii 96840-0001	1 copy	JOHN CROUCH Box 38-4276 Waikoloa, HI 96738	1 сору
PATSY H. NANBU Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. P. O. Box 2750 Honolulu, Hawaii 96840-0001	1 copy	RICK REED Inter Island Solar Supply 761 Ahua Street Honolulu, HI 96819	1 сору
ALAN M. OSHIMA, ESQ. KENT D. MORIHARA, ESQ. 841 Bishop Street, Suite 400 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813	2 copies	CHRISTOPHER S. COLMAN Deputy General Counsel Amerada Hess Corporation One Hess Plaza Woodbridge, N.J. 07095	1 сору
ALTON MIYAMOTO President & CEO Kauai Island Utility Cooperative 4463 Pahe'e Street Lihue, Hawaii 96766	1 copy	SANDRA-ANN Y. H. WONG, ESQ. 1050 Bishop Street, #514 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813	1 copy
KALVIN K. KOBAYASHI, ENERGY COORDINATOR County of Maui Department of Management 200 S. High Street Wailuku, HI 96793	1 copy	MICHAEL DE'MARSI Hess Microgen 4101 Halburton Road Raleigh, NC 27614	1 copy

#### Party

HENRY CURTIS Life of the Land 76 North King Street, Suite 203 Honolulu, HI 96817 Party

3 copies GLENN SATO, ENERGY

COORDINATOR

c/o Office of the County Attorney

County of Kauai

4444 Řice Street, Suite 220

Lihue, HI 96766

LANI D. H. NAKAZAWA, ESQ. Office of the County Attorney County of Kauai 4444 Rice Street, Suite 220 Lihue, HI 96766 2 copies

Dated: September 17, 2004

President, HREA

1 copy